Multiple introductions promote range expansion of the mollusc *Cyclope neritea* (Nassariidae) in France: evidence from mitochondrial sequence data
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Abstract

Since the 1970s, the nassariid gastropod *Cyclope neritea* has been extending its range north along the French Atlantic coasts from the Iberian Peninsula. This may be due to natural spread because of the recent warming of the northeastern Atlantic. However, human-mediated introductions related to shellfish culture may also be a probable explanation for this sudden range expansion. To examine these two hypotheses, we carried out a comprehensive study based on mitochondrial gene sequences (cytochrome oxidase I) of the five recently colonized French bays as well as 14 populations located in the recognized native range of the species. From a total of 594 individuals, we observed 29 haplotypes to split into three divergent clades. In the native range, we observed a low molecular diversity, strong genetic structure and agreement between geography and gene genealogies. Along the French coasts, we observed the opposite: high genetic diversity and low genetic structure. Our results show that recurrent human-mediated introductions from several geographical areas in the native range may be a source for the French Atlantic populations. However, despite the low dispersal ability of *C. neritea*, the isolation-by-distance pattern in France suggested that this gastropod may have been present (although unnoticed) on the French Atlantic coasts before the 1970s. As *C. neritea* shows characteristics of a cryptogenic species, the classification of Atlantic populations as either native or introduced is not straightforward. Cryptogenic species should be studied further to determine the status of new populations close to their recognized native range.
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Introduction

In marine habitats and especially coastal areas, the rate of biological invasions has increased considerably in the last few decades, altering community dynamics (Dukes & Mooney 1999; Grosholz 2002). Nevertheless, the processes underlying the sustainable settlement of nonindigenous species (NIS) in coastal habitats are still not fully known (Carlton 1996; Grosholz 2002). Introduction processes are obviously highly related to the increase in transport in ship ballast waters as well as to intentional or accidental releases for aquaculture and fisheries (Carlton & Geller 1993; Cohen & Carlton 1998). The sustainable settlement of NIS in coastal habitats may also benefit from other facets of global change. Fast environmental changes such as habitat or climatic changes may indeed alter native communities and weaken the competitive ability of indigenous species, thus facilitating the settlement of introduced species (Dukes & Mooney 1999; Carlton 2000; Sax & Brown 2000). For example, Stachowicz et al. (2002) recently demonstrated that changes in the maximum and minimum environmental temperatures may have promoted the establishment of two invasive ascidians at the expense of native species. Temperature is known to be a critical factor influencing the...
natural distribution of animal and plant species: a wide array of species colonized western and northern Europe from the Iberian Peninsula after the ice ages (Comes & Kadereit 1998; Taberlet et al. 1998; or Gomez & Lunt, in press) and species located on both sides of a biogeographic boundary can extend or restrict their natural range in response to local changes in environmental conditions (Gaylord & Gaines 2000). Natural processes might thus interact with human-mediated introductions.

The Bay of Biscay (northeastern Atlantic, Fig. 1) is an interesting area to study this phenomenon because the mean temperature of this geographical area has increased by 0.6–0.8 °C per decade during the last 30 years (IPCC 2001). This rapid and recent warming has promoted the displacement of mollusc species within this bay (e.g. *Macoma balthica*, Hummel et al. 2000). Moreover, there are numerous and important shellfish culture sites present along the coasts of the Bay of Biscay and the exchange of commercial species between distant shell-farming sites have been shown to be an efficient way of primary and secondary introductions of NIS, including molluscs (e.g. *Crepidula fornicata*, see References in Dupont et al. 2003), in new biotas (Wolff & Reise 2002). Therefore, changes in temperature and the transport of oysters may both induce community changes in the Bay of Biscay. The recent expansion of the distribution range of the mollusc *Cyclope neritea* makes this species an appropriate model to examine the role played by these two processes. This nassariid gastropod is native to the Mediterranean and Black seas, and to the Atlantic coasts of Morocco, southern Spain and Portugal. Until the 1950s, only discontinuous and ephemeral populations were recorded along the northern Atlantic coasts of Spain and the southern French Basque coasts, with the Basque country being defined as the northern edge of its natural range (for a detailed description, see Sauriau 1991). Since 1976, new populations have been recorded gradually moving from south to north along the French Atlantic coasts: first in Arcachon Bay, then in 1983–1984, in the Marennes-Oléron Bay, the Île de Ré, and the Gulf of Morbihan, and more recently from 2000, along English Channel coasts (see References in Bachelet et al. 2004). *C. neritea* is rather uncommon because new populations of this species appeared just at the edge of its previously recognized natural range. On the one hand, the gradual appearance of *C. neritea* populations from south to north suggests a natural spread towards north during the past 30 years due to environmental changes. On the other hand, a natural spread over such a short period of time seems unlikely given the limited dispersal ability of this species. As a direct developer, *C. neritea* has no planktonic larval stage (Gomoiu 1964) and is restricted to a very particular substrate (i.e. sheltered spots, characterized by sandy-muddy substrates). Therefore, the discontinuous habitat due to the rocky shores along the southern French Atlantic coasts may slow down natural migrations (Sauriau
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Fig. 1 Location of the study populations and haplotype frequencies at the population level. Native populations are shown on the right whereas FAEC populations and populations of unknown status are shown on the detailed map of the Bay of Biscay (left side). For each population, haplotype names are indicated and colour/figures (see box on the upper-right corner) in pie charts refer to the defined clades in Fig. 2.
Moreover, all the newly established French populations of *C. neritea* were found near oyster beds. Therefore, the appearance of *C. neritea* along the French Atlantic and English Channel (FAEC) coasts may be due to human-mediated introductions via oyster exchanges between Mediterranean and Atlantic shellfish culture sites (Boulic & Tardy 1986; Pigeot 1988; Le Roux et al. 1988; Sauriau 1991). In a previous study, Bachelet et al. (2004) focused on Arcachon Bay, which was the first site in which *C. neritea* was observed outside of its recognized native range. Mitochondrial analyses revealed the coexistence of three highly divergent lineages in this population, reinforcing the idea of accidental introductions due to human-mediated activities. However, based on this single population, the authors could not differentiate between a single introduction from a highly diversified source population or multiple and recurrent introductions from more poorly diversified but highly divergent source populations. Moreover, by analysing only one population, Bachelet et al. (2004) could not determine the colonization history of *C. neritea* along the French coasts. Here, we consider: (i) whether the population inhabiting Arcachon Bay exhibits a pattern congruent with a single-source or a multiple-source introduction scenario. As accidental NIS introductions along the FAEC coasts are mainly related to oyster exchanges (Goulletquer et al. 2002), we focused our native range sampling on areas where important shellfish cultures occur (i.e. the Iberian Peninsula, the French Mediterranean coasts and the Northern Adriatic Sea); (ii) whether the recently established FAEC populations all share a common mechanism for their establishment and whether the FAEC populations are genetically related. In particular, we tested for a genetic isolation pattern between the known primary site of introduction (i.e. Arcachon Bay, colonized in 1976) and the more recently and gradually colonized sites along the French coasts; and (iii) whether we can identify the status (either native or introduced) of the populations located at the edge of the recognized natural range of *C. neritea* (i.e. the northern part of the Iberian Peninsula and French Basque Country).

Mitochondrial gene studies were shown to be reliable for phylogeographic studies of native species as well as for analysing the mechanisms involved in the settlement of introduced species (Kolbe et al. 2004; Voisin et al. 2005). Moreover, because the effective population size for mitochondrial genes is four-times lower than for nuclear markers, any genetic drift effects are emphasized and isolation by distance patterns should be easier to detect (Diaz-Almela et al. 2004). Therefore, we carried out a comprehensive sampling of *C. neritea* along the FAEC coasts (five populations) as well as 11 populations from the native range and three populations located at the edge of the previously recognized range of *C. neritea*. Classical population genetics analyses were combined with intraspecific phylogenetic approaches by analysing a fragment of the cytochrome oxidase I mitochondrial gene (COI, 533 bp) in 594 individuals.

### Materials and methods

#### Sampling

A survey and exhaustive sampling of French Atlantic and English Channel (FAEC) coasts was carried out between March 2002 and June 2003 in each bay in which *Cyclope neritea* has been recorded since the 1970s (Table 1, Fig. 1). We believe this sampling fits exactly the real distribution of the species in its current FAEC range. We collected five FAEC populations: (i) Arguin, the primary site of introduction in 1983 in Arcachon Bay (which was previously studied by Bachelet et al. 2004), (ii) Ronce les Bains (Marennes-Oléron Bay, Sauriau 1989), Rivedoux (Île de Ré, Tardy et al. 1985) and Séné (Gulf of Morbihan, Le Roux et al. 1988), three bays in which *C. neritea* was first observed in 1983–84; and (iii) Stérec (Morlaix Bay, English Channel), the most northerly population, known on French coasts since the end of the 1990s. We also sampled three populations located at the northern edge of the recognized natural range (French Basque Country) between December 2003 and March 2004: (i) Hossegor (French Basque Country), where *C. neritea* went unnoticed until our sampling and located at 50 km North of Socoa where the species was first recorded in 1950 (Kisch 1950) and (ii) two northern Spanish populations, Pedreña and San Vicente de la Barquera. We also sampled 11 populations between March and December 2004 in the recognized native range of the species to allow comparisons with the FAEC populations (Table 1; Fig. 1): (i) along the Atlantic coasts of Portugal and Spain (3 populations), (ii) along the Mediterranean coasts of Spain, France and Italy (6 populations) and (iii) along the coasts of the Adriatic Sea (Italy, 2 populations). Thau Lagoon (France) and Faro (Portugal) had been previously studied by Bachelet et al. (2004). For each population, we collected adult specimens, which were stored in 95% ethanol before DNA extraction.

#### DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

We followed the protocol detailed in Bachelet et al. (2004). Briefly, total DNA was extracted from less than 15 mg of foot muscle using the DNeasy Tissue Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAGEN). Amplification of the COI gene was carried out using specific primers and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were directly sequenced using ABI PRISM BigDye Terminators version 3.0 Cycle Sequencing Kit following the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). Both strands were sequenced for each individual using an ABI PRISM-3100 Automated DNA Sequencer (PerkinElmer Applied Biosystems) and sequence data were aligned using CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al. 1994).
Sequence analysis

For each population, we examined the genetic diversity by calculating the number of mitochondrial haplotypes, \( N_H \), and polymorphic sites, \( S \), the nucleotide diversity, \( \theta \) (i.e., average number of nucleotide differences between pairs of sequences), and the gene diversity, \( H_E \) (Nei 1987), using DNASP version 3.53 software (Rozas & Rozas 1999). We defined three population sets (Table 1): recently established populations (i.e., populations recorded since the 1970s along the FAEC coasts, set 1), populations of unknown status (i.e., populations located at the edge of the recognized native range of the species, set 2) and native populations (i.e., populations from the recognized native range of the species, set 3). For each set, we calculated the mean (and associated standard deviations) and overall \( N_H \), \( S \), \( H_E \), and \( \theta \)-values.

We investigated the overall or regional population genetic structure by performing analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA, Excoffier et al. 1992) as implemented in ARLEQUIN version 2.0 software (Schneider et al. 2001). Fixation indices (Wright 1951) analogous to \( F_{ST} \), \( F_{CT} \) and \( F_{SC} \) parameters, were calculated to analyse the genetic differentiation between populations over the whole native range (\( \Phi_{ST} \)), among groups of populations (regions) within the native range (\( \Phi_{CT} \)) and among populations within groups (regions; \( \Phi_{SC} \)) as defined in Excoffier et al. (1992). \( \Phi \)-statistics take into account both the haplotype frequencies and the molecular distances between haplotypes. We assessed the statistical
significance of the \( \phi \) indices using a nonparametric permutation procedure implemented in arlequin version 2.0. We used the isolation by distance (IBD) model to examine the genetic relationships between FAEC populations. IBD was determined by plotting genetic distance (i.e. \( F_{ST}/(1 - F_{ST}) \), Rousset 1997) against linear geographic distance (in kilometres) measured following the coastline. These distances were calculated for each FAEC population pair and we used a Mantel test to check a positive correlation between matrices of pairwise geographic and genetic distances using ibd 1.5 software (Bohonak 2002).

Given the pattern that was observed by Bachelet et al. (2004) in Arguin, we had to discriminate between two introduction processes: (i) a single introduction event from a highly diversified source population or (ii) multiple introductions from slightly diversified but highly divergent source populations. Therefore, as well as molecular diversity, we also analysed the haplotype distribution across populations after determining their genealogical relationships by a haplotypic network (Cassens et al. 2003). The network was constructed using a median-joining algorithm implemented in network 4.0.0.0 software (Bandelt et al. 1999). We then applied a maximum parsimony algorithm to simplify the complex branching pattern and to represent all the most parsimonious intraspecific phylogenies. We illustrated similarities between FAEC and native populations by building a population tree using mega version 3.0 software (Kumar et al. 2004): pairwise genetic distances matrices were calculated using the Kimura 2-parameters model (Kimura 1980) and phylogenetic reconstructions were carried out using a neighbour-joining algorithm (Saitou & Nei 1987). Finally, for FAEC populations, we calculated the distribution of the observed number of pairwise nucleotide site differences (i.e. mismatch distribution) in dnasp version 3.53 to examine the mixing of evolutionary divergent lineages at the population level. Given the low resolving power of Tajima’s D-test (Galtier et al. 2000), we carried out tests for departure from mutation–drift equilibrium under an infinite-site model following the method of Depaulis & Veuille (1998). This test (K-test) is based on the comparison between the observed number of haplotypes (\( N_{H} \)) and the expected number under equilibrium (\( N_{HE} \)) given the sample size (\( N \)) and the observed number of segregating sites (\( S \)). It is particularly relevant to our study because it can detect recent population admixture (Hamblin & Veuille 1999). We used a computer program provided by M. H. Muller (2002) to compute confidence intervals and one-tailed \( P \) values for \( N_{HE} \).

Results

Molecular diversity over the dataset

A high molecular diversity was found over the whole study although not evenly distributed across population sets. We analysed a 533-bp fragment of the COI gene and observed only synonymous substitutions (Appendix). Over the whole data set (\( N = 594 \) individuals), we identified 36 polymorphic sites defining 29 haplotypes (Table 1; Appendix; GenBank Accession nos: AY789970–AY789991 and DQ087210–DQ087216). The haplotype distribution at the population level is shown in Fig. 1. Over the whole data set, we found three haplotypes (H1, H18 and H22) at a high frequency, \( f = 0.443, 0.200 \) and 0.163, respectively (sum = 0.806) compared to the others (0.002 < \( f < 0.059 \)). We observed large differences in gene and molecular diversities for the three population sets (Table 1): gene and molecular diversity indices were higher in recently established populations (set 1) than in populations of unknown status (set 2) or from the native range (set 3). For example, \( H_{E} \) ranged from 0.589 to 0.911 (mean = 0.745) in set 1, whereas it ranged from 0 to 0.514 (mean = 0.260) in set 2 and from 0 to 0.603 (mean = 0.218) in set 3. We observed the same for \( N_{H} \), \( S \) and \( \pi \). All populations presented high and similar polymorphism levels in set 1, whereas the other two sets showed monomorphic populations (7 out of 14). Molecular and genetic diversities were not distributed similarly across populations according to the set: overall and mean values for diversity indices were closer in set 1 than in sets 2 and 3. For example, overall value for \( \pi \) for set 3 was six times higher than mean value (1.38 \( \times 10^{2} \) and 0.25 \( \times 10^{2} \) respectively) whereas overall and mean values were almost identical for set 1 (1.52 \( \times 10^{2} \) and 1.32 \( \times 10^{2} \) respectively). Finally, in the recently established populations, only Séné differed in the nucleotidic and haplotypic diversity estimates: this population showed the highest number of haplotypes and highest haplotypic diversity (\( N_{H} = 16, H_{E} = 0.911 \)) whereas the number of segregating sites and nucleotide diversity (\( S = 14, \pi = 0.01024 \)) were the lowest of the FAEC populations.

Genealogical relationships and geographic distribution of haplotypes

Gene lineages were clearly split across regions within the native range. The most likely (i.e. parsimonious) genealogies between haplotypes are shown in Fig. 2. We found that the three most frequent haplotypes (i.e. H1, H18 and H22) belonged to three main clades (clade 1: H1–H5, clade 2: H15–H19 and clade 3: H21–H27). The genetic distances between these clades were large (11–20 mutational steps) compared to the genetic distances within clades (1–6 mutational steps). We found that only two haplotypes, H28 and H29, were distant from these three clades (9–15 mutational steps according to the cluster). The 10 other haplotypes, found at low frequencies, were close to each other and represented an unresolved torso in the middle of the network. The overall structure of the network was
consistent with the geographic location of the native populations (Fig. 1). Within the native range, we could clearly identify three subgroups of populations corresponding to three geographic regions: (i) Southwestern populations (i.e. Iberian Peninsula plus Sardinia) were characterized almost exclusively by haplotypes belonging to clade 1; (ii) French Mediterranean populations were mainly characterized by haplotypes belonging to clade 2; and (iii) the Eastern populations (Sicily and Adriatic Sea populations) were mainly characterized by haplotypes belonging to clade 3. This apparent genetic clustering within the natural range was statistically significant according to AMOVA: the overall high and significant genetic structure ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.869$, $P < 10^{-3}$) was due to a large genetic differentiation between these three regions ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.846$, $P < 10^{-3}$) compared to the low genetic differentiation among the populations within these regions ($\Phi_{SC} = 0.152$, $P < 10^{-3}$). The correspondence between genetic and geographic structures is well illustrated by a neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 3), in which the three subgroups are clearly separated. We found that the populations of unknown status were closely related to the Iberian Peninsula populations. Conversely, the recently established populations were distributed over the whole tree suggesting several origins for the FAEC populations.

Genetic pattern along the French Atlantic and English Channel coasts

Contrary to the pattern observed among native populations, we found the genetic differentiation between the FAEC populations to be low ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.135$) but significant and associated with a high population genetic diversity. The five recently established populations exhibited haplotypes belonging to two or three of the clades as shown by the network analysis (Figs 1 and 2). Mismatch distribution analyses corroborated this co-occurrence of individuals having evolutionary divergent haplotypes (Fig. 4). Arguin, Ronces, Rivedoux and Stérec all showed at least two major peaks characterizing two or more groups of genetically divergent haplotypes co-occurring at the population level. In these four populations, the observed number of haplotypes ($N_H$) was significantly lower than expected under mutation–drift equilibrium ($N_{EH}$, $K$-tests in Fig. 4), suggesting a recent admixture (Hamblin & Veuille 1999). However, in Séné we observed a larger number of haplotypes that differed from each other by a large range of mutational steps (from 1 to 14), generating a more uniform mismatch distribution. Moreover, in this population was observed a larger number of haplotypes than expected ($K$-test, Fig. 4), suggesting a recent population expansion.
or ancient balanced polymorphism (Depaulis & Veuille 1998). Finally, within the FAEC populations, we observed an increase in the pairwise $F_{ST}$ estimates when we considered more distant populations, which resulted in a significant pattern of isolation by distance ($P = 0.016$, Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

**Recurrent introductions from multiple sources**

Although the overall level of mitochondrial polymorphism observed in our study of *Cyclope neritea* was comparable with other studies of the COI sequences below the species level [e.g. *Scylla serrata*, Gopurenko et al. (1999); *Patelloida profunda*, Kirkendale & Meyer (2004); *Littorina* sp., Wilding et al. (2000)], we observed a marked difference when comparing native and recently established populations. We noticed a low within-population genetic diversity associated with a strong genetic structure in the native range of *C. neritea*, whereas the FAEC populations exhibited a large within-population genetic diversity associated with a moderate genetic structure. With the exception of Séné, our study revealed that FAEC populations did not originate from a unique introduction event from a highly diversified native population but primarily from recurrent introductions from multiple sources. More specifically, in these FAEC populations, we observed large haplotypic and nucleotidic diversities and the co-occurrence of two or three groups of highly divergent haplotypes. Multipeak mismatch curves and significant $K$-tests confirmed that these four FAEC populations are not in demographic equilibrium and that admixture events (i.e. mixing of individuals from populations that have evolved independently) have occurred. None of the 11 native populations exhibited a mixture of the haplotypes belonging to the three clades identified in most of the FAEC populations (Fig. 1). Moreover, we found all native populations were much less diversified than the recently established populations, with the most polymorphic native population (Venice Lagoon) being less diversified than any of the recently established populations. In conclusion, the native populations showed a phylogeographic pattern with a strong consistency between their geographic locations and their genetic identity, which was confirmed by AMOVA and the neighbour-joining tree. At the population level, the recently established range has an increased genetic diversity compared to the native range, and, on a more regional scale, the strong genetic structure of the natural range had been erased in the recently colonized areas.
As suggested earlier for Arguin (Bachelet et al. 2004), the results of our study shows that *C. neritea* populations recorded along the French coasts have been introduced since the 1970s and also strongly suggests that the introduction involved recurrent introduction events from several poorly diversified but highly divergent native populations. This is consistent with many genetic studies of terrestrial, fresh-

water and marine nonindigenous species (NIS) that failed to show the expected decrease of genetic polymorphism for numerous introduced species [Poaceae, Novak et al. (1993), brown mussel, Holland (2001), thiariid snail, Facon et al. (2003), slipper limpet, Dupont et al. (2003), Cuban lizard, Kolbe et al. (2004) or Japanese alga, Voisin et al. (2005)]. As observed by Kolbe et al. (2004), when native populations

are monomorphic, the occurrence of multiple introductions can promote the settlement of NIS by transforming among-population variation in native ranges to within-population variation in introduced areas.

**Alternative vector of introduction for Séné**

Oyster exchanges, which are known to be responsible for numerous NIS introductions along the Atlantic coasts of Europe (Goulletquer et al. 2002; Wolff & Reise 2002), may have played a major role in the colonization of the FAEC coasts by *C. neritea* by introducing, near oyster beds, many individuals from various genetically differentiated populations. Such mechanisms and vectors have been suggested for other coastal marine introductions (see for instance Ocinebrellus inornatus, Martel et al. 2004). However, other shellfish cultures may also have contributed to the successful settlement of *C. neritea* along the FAEC coasts. This is exemplified by the particular genetic pattern of Séné, which showed: (i) a higher number of haplotypes than expected under the equilibrium hypothesis (K-test, Fig. 4); (ii) a position close to the populations from the Adriatic Sea in the neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 3); and (iii) the only nonsignificant genetic differentiation (55 pairwise \( \Phi_{ST} \) comparisons) between the five recently established and the 11 native populations of our data set (i.e. between Séné and Venice Lagoon \( \Phi_{ST} = 0.045, P = 0.072 \); data not shown). A limited number of genetically diversified source populations, one of which having recently undergone a large demographic expansion, would seem a more probable explanation than the numerous introductions from less diversified but highly divergent populations that we observed in the other FAEC populations. This is consistent with the frequent exchanges of Japanese Manila clams, *Tapes philippinarum*, occurring between the Venice Lagoon and shellfish culture sites of the Gulf of Morbihan, where Séné is located [J.-F. Auvray (SATMAR), personal communication]. This may be the principal or even the unique vector for the introduction of *C. neritea* in this area. This result illustrates the difficulty in generalizing the identification of a vector of introduction for a given NIS over its full range of introduction. As recently demonstrated for other marine NIS (e.g. the alga Undaria pinnatifida; Voisin et al. 2005), comprehensive studies of introduced populations are necessary for an inventory of all the factors/vectors that may promote the successful settlement of NIS. Nevertheless, all the French populations are characterized by at least one common feature: a large genetic diversity compared to populations from the native range. This has also been demonstrated in numerous successful NIS, suggesting the importance of propagule pressure for invasion success (Williamson 1996; Sax & Brown 2000).

**Combination of natural spread and human-mediated introductions along the FAEC coasts**

Human-mediated introductions associated with shellfish (i.e. oysters and/or Manila clams) transport are likely to have played a major role in the primary colonization process by *C. neritea* of the FAEC coasts. Recently established populations gradually appeared from south and moved northward and an isolation-by-distance pattern could be clearly seen between these populations (Fig. 5). This IBD may be explained either by secondary introductions between shellfish culture sites or by a natural spread from either the Iberian Peninsula or the primary site of introduction (i.e. Arguin). As we have no precise monitoring data about oyster exchanges between shellfish culture sites and contamination by *C. neritea*, we cannot definitively rule out secondary introductions. However, human-mediated exchanges between French shellfish culture sites occur randomly between very distant and noncontinuous locations. In particular, all French oysters farms (from the English Channel, Atlantic and even Mediterranean culture sites) rely on oyster spats only produced in the bays of Arcachon and Marennes-Oléron (Goulletquer & Heral 1997). If random human-mediated dispersal was the only process affecting *C. neritoa* populations, the haplotypes should have been randomly mixed across the populations resulting in the absence of the apparent step-by-step process revealed by the IBD pattern. Given the low dispersal ability of the species, it is appealing to suggest a natural spread to explain the observed IBD although it is unlikely that such a pattern could have been produced over only 20–30 years. Nevertheless, natural spread could have occurred over a longer time period if the *C. neritea* populations had been present at low densities, and consequently unnoticed, along the FAEC coasts long before 1976. The patchy distribution of *C. neritea*...
populations, even in its natural range, makes it difficult to detect even when population densities are not low.

_Cyclope neritea_ can be classified as a cryptogenic species as defined by Carlton (1996). Such ‘not demonstrably native or introduced’ species probably represent a large part of the newly recorded species (Carlton 1996). Ancestral _C. neritea_ populations may have been present long before 1976 either because of natural migrations from the most northern native populations or because of ancient and unrecognized accidental introductions. For example, the oyster _Ostrea edulis_ has been exploited in France since the early 20th century, with exchanges occurring between the Thau lagoon and the Bay of Marennes-Oléron (Hinard & Lambert 1928). Sax & Brown (2000) recently demonstrated that species that suddenly become abundant and widespread often do so only after having failed to establish from earlier multiple natural or accidental introductions. The recent sustainable settlement of large and detectable _C. neritea_ populations (from 400 to > 1600 ind./m² in Rivedoux, Tardy et al. 1985) may have been promoted by two factors: (i) an accelerated rate of accidental introductions in the 1970s due to intensified oyster transfers between Mediterranean and Atlantic shellfish farms and (ii) the rapid increase of temperature in the Bay of Biscay. By weakening native communities, both factors (Dukes & Mooney 1999) may have favoured the settlement of introduced individuals and migrants coming from the south, thus creating a demographic reinforcement of cryptic _C. neritea_ populations along the French Atlantic coasts.

**Populations at the edge: introduction vs. expansion**

The three populations located at the edge of the previously recognized native range of the species, namely San Vicente de la Barquera (SVB), Pedreña and Hossegur, were classified as populations of unknown status (Table 1). Although located at the northern edge of its distributional range, this area is classified as part of the natural range of _C. neritea_, as its presence has been regularly reported in the past (Sauriau 1991). Moreover, shellfish have been cultured in this area for a long time and the populations sampled were all located near oyster farming sites (_C. neritea_ populations were not found elsewhere, B. Simon-Bouhet, personal observation). Therefore, these populations could be either natural or introduced, justifying the classification of _C. neritea_ as a cryptogenic species. Some of our results support a native status for these study populations because, for example, the three populations were genetically close to other Iberian Peninsula populations (Fig. 3). However, the three study populations did not share exactly the same characteristics. Hossegur, which is located at the very edge of the natural range showed features that we observed in the set of native populations (set 3, Table 1): (i) the haplotypic and nucleotidic diversities were closer to the values observed in set 3 than in recently established populations and (ii) the two haplotypes (H1 and H5) found in this population belonged to the same clade as native populations (clade 1; Figs 1 and 2). Conversely, the SVB population showed features that we observed in most of the FAEC populations: high genetic diversity and the occurrence of two highly divergent haplotypes, namely H1 and H18 (found in clade 1 and 2, respectively) that were never found together in native populations. In Pedreña, the low sampling size prevents us from carrying out fine analysis. We found many more empty shells than in all other populations and we caught only three living individuals, suggesting that in the past this population may have been large but is now declining. Our sampling along the Iberian Peninsula was based on a survey made by Sauriau (1991) whose records for the occurrence of _C. neritea_ since 1920 were reviewed. However, _C. neritea_ was not found in most of the sites cited by Sauriau (1991) showing both the instability of populations and the cryptic nature of _C. neritea_ in this area. The appearance and disappearance of _C. neritea_ populations is well known in this geographical area (Kisch 1950; Morton 1960) and may be partly due to the closeness of a biogeographic boundary between northern temperate species and southern subtropical species (Glémarec 1979). Such natural barriers are characterized by very peculiar patterns for species distribution, hybridization between species (e.g. Biene et al. 2003) or genetic differentiation at the population level (Luttikhuizen et al. 2003; Jolly et al. 2005). A more detailed study of _C. neritea_ populations in this area would be useful, especially because the warming of the Bay of Biscay may remove selective constraints, leading to reinforcement of introduced populations by natural migrations northwards.

In conclusion, our study showed that the introduced status of _C. neritea_ along the FAEC coasts may be associated with several vectors (e.g. oysters or Manila clam) and four out of five populations exhibited a genetic pattern consistent with introductions from multiple sources. Recurrent introductions and admixtures of several evolutionary lineages could have been the starting point for a rapid demographic expansion in sites where this cryptogenic species may have been present at low densities in the past. Bachelet et al. (2004) suggested biological features that could make _C. neritea_ a particularly competitive gastropod. The temperature increase in the Bay of Biscay may also facilitate its long-term settlement by allowing larger scale migrations northwards, reinforcing the potential for this gastropod to become a real invasive species. Genetic and/or ecological surveys are clearly needed to study those NIS located at the edge of their natural range.
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Appendix

Haplotype definition (H1 to H29: GenBank accession numbers: AY789970–AY789991 and DQ087210–DQ087216) and number of occurrence (N). Only polymorphic sites are indicated and positions are given in number of base pairs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotypes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>AACACAATCGGAAGCGCGGGCTATATACGGTTGAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>---A---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>---A---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>---C---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---A---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H18</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>---T---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H22</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>---G---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>