Names and More Names:

Folk taxonomies, “kinds” and “particular kinds”
- learned by memorization in preliterate societies
- Ancient Greek/Roman hand-copied manuscripts
- Printing press and expanded exploration increased the distribution/adoptions of classifications and initiated a significant increase in the species diversity recognized.

Linnaeus used the tools available to him to basically update the regional taxonomy (northern Europe)
- Simplification and standardization was applied to the system in use.
  The system:
  - “names” were paragraph long description, diagnosis and identification tool written in Latin.
  - Common and well known kinds were already frequently referred to by the genus name, e.g., *Crocus, Iris, Narcissus*. Particular kinds were sometimes referred to using a binomial, e.g., *Iris sylvestris*.
  - Linnaeus used the binomial “nicknames” uniformly and still provided the more protracted “name”.
  The binomial shortcut caught on fast and names proliferated.
- more foreign material and better microscopes and lenses.
- “renaming” species not previously given binomials
- emending names not properly formed
- the result was chaos

Codes and More Codes:

Various rules were proposed, even by Linnaeus, none were applied generally.
- The first general use code was the Strickland Code (1842). It was intended for plants and animals.
- Split between Zoologist and Botanists and in 1867 Candolle and others developed a separate set of rules.
- Dall (1877) combined code.
- International Congress of Geology (1881) code for fossils
- American Ornithologists Union (1886) code for birds
- International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria (ICNB, 1947(dropped) 1980) (soon may change to International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes)


[http://www.bgbm.org/iapt/nomenclature/code/SaintLouis/0000St.Luistitle.htm](http://www.bgbm.org/iapt/nomenclature/code/SaintLouis/0000St.Luistitle.htm)


Some shared features of ICBN/ICZN:
- Purpose is to ensure a unique scientific name for every taxon.
- Provides rules for publication, validation, documentation and typification of names.
- Allow assignment and changes in names without interfering with scientific freedom.
- Provides an administrative system to oversee and interpret rule based on “Case law”

Some differences between ICBN/ICZN:
- Codes are independent for names are not unique, e.g., *Pieris* - butterfly; *Pieris* - heath
- ICBN, the concept of priority includes a particular binomial combination
  *Cucamis chrysocomus* Shumacher, (1827) when moved to a different genus it becomes *Rhaphidiocystis chrysocoma* (Shumacher) C. Jeffrey (1962)
- ICZN, species authorship is unchanged.
  *Bothynoproctus portai* Straneo, 1941 ------ *Neotalis portai* (Straneo, 1941)
- ICBN, regulates Division to subform taxa
- ICZN, regulates Superfamily to subspecies.
(Species number in most inclusive taxa are similar. Probably has something to do with the human mind)
- ICBN, names based on a Recent type specimen have priority over names based on a fossil type
- ICZN, first valid publication in all cases
- ICBN, no tautonyms
- ICZN, tautonyms allowed. Bison (Bison) bison bison is an available name.

Types: Designation of a type specimen was made mandatory in 1958 in the ICBN, but not until 2000 in the ICZN (it remained a recommendation for many years).

The “type” is the name bearing specimen associated by description and publication given the rules of nomenclature.
- Acts as an objective basis for the nomenclature
- voucher (or “supervoucher”) of our research
- a single datum that provides a fixed reference point for our species-level hypothesis
- not necessarily average or typical in the sense of being the common form
- can’t represent variation (only one sex in monecious organisms)
- only make sense in the post-Linnaeus, non-typological context

The kinds of types...
- Type series- All specimens on which a description is based (may be only one).
- Syntypes- Two or more specimens included in the type series

Primary types, these have nomenclature status:
- Holotype- Single specimen chose as the nomenclature type.
- Lectotype- A single specimen subsequently chosen from the syntypes to act as nomenclature type.
- Neotype- A single specimen subsequently chosen to act as nomenclature type when all syntypes have been destroyed.
- Isotype- A duplicate holotype (botany).
- Hapanotype- An ontogenetic series that acts as nomenclature type (protistans, ICZN)

Secondary types
- Paratype- non-holotype syntypes. Usually distributed as vouchers.
- Allotype- paratype selected to represent the opposite sex from the holotype.

Other unregulated and not very useful “types” include Homotype, topotype, plesiotype, hypotype, heautotype, onomatype, morphotype, metatype, ideotype. . . .

Priority- Availability- Validity:

Mostly, these concepts were needed post-Linnaeus to deal with the chaos created by 100 years of unregulated names and to deal with subsequent naming.

Priority- first published name is the correct one to use. (except when it is not)

Availability- a properly published name is “available” (known as “validly published” in ICBN)
- use Latin alphabet to form name as bionomial
- published description
- type designated

Validity- the correct name to use (known as “correct name” in ICBN)

Names in conflict:
Primary Homonyms, Same name used for two species.
Carabus limbatus Fabricius, 1776 [senior homonym]
Carabus limbatus Say, 1823 [junior homonym (later homonym in ICBN)]

Secondary Homonyms, Classification change causes conflict.
Feronia strenua Panzer, 1779
Pterostichus strenuus LeConte, 1852
Csiki (1930) put both in Pterostichus so P. strenuus becomes a secondary junior homonym and must have new name. [Pterostichus substrennus Csiki 1930]
Objective synonyms (nomenclatural synonyms ICBN).
- spelling mistakes, unjustified emendations, e.g., *Abaris* vs. *Abarys* or *Rabdotus* vs. *Rhabdotus*. Priority prevails- oldest name/form in most cases. Even if misspelled (usually).

Subjective synonyms (taxonomic synonyms ICBN).
- Conflicting species definitions and hypotheses. Subjective, but hopefully analysis based, decision that two described forms constitute one species.
- *Abaris darlingtoni* Straneo 1939 = *Abaris aenea* Dejean 1831.
- Priority determines valid name. However, both names are still available.

Special cases can preserve a newer, more commonly used name.
“Prevailing usage” is new to ICZN. - If the senior name has not been used in 50 years and the junior name occurs in 25 “works” published by 10 authors covering a 10 year span within the last 50 years.

----------

Kinds of publications that involve nomenclature directly:

Descriptions-
Species descriptions- isolated description of unrevised groups is not recommended (at least not by me), especially if presented without a key or identification aid.
Redescription- adds significant information and new material
Description of higher taxa- Less common. Usually within a more extensive analysis. Tends to highlight exceptional novelties.

General treatments-
Synopsis- Summarizes current knowledge with a focus on species identification.
Review- Critical study of previous concepts and material.
Revision- Descriptions, phylogeny, classification.
Monograph- All aspects and complete detail.

Special use publications-
Phylogenies- May explore various character systems, biogeography or behaviors in detail.
Classifications- Usually published with phylogenies but may be compiled from various sources.
Floras, faunas, checklists, field-guides, atlases- Focus on identification, synthesis and presentation of knowledge of the group.

---

Preamble ICBN: “Botany requires a precise and simple system of nomenclature used by botanists in all countries, dealing on the one hand with the terms which denote the ranks of taxonomic groups or units, and on the other hand with the scientific names which are applied to the individual taxonomic groups of plants. The purpose of giving a name to a taxonomic group is not to indicate its characters or history, but to supply a means of referring to it and to indicate its taxonomic rank. This Code aims at the provision of a stable method of naming taxonomic groups, avoiding and rejecting the use of names which may cause error or ambiguity or throw science into confusion. Next in importance is the avoidance of the useless creation of names. Other considerations, such as absolute grammatical correctness, regularity or euphony of names, more or less prevailing custom, regard for persons, etc., notwithstanding their undeniable importance, are relatively accessory.”

ICZN: “The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature has one fundamental aim, which is to provide the maximum universality and continuity in the scientific names of animals compatible with the freedom of scientists to classify all animals according to taxonomic judgement. The rules in the Code determine what names are potentially valid for any taxon between and including the ranks of subspecies and superfamily. Its provisions can be waived or modified in their application to a particular case when strict adherence would cause confusion; however, this must never be done by an individual but only by the Commission, acting on behalf of all zoologists. The Commission takes such action in response to proposals submitted to it; applications should follow the instructions in the Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, and assistance will be given by the Secretariat.”