

Sexual Selection

Charles Darwin and Alfred Russell Wallace

Noticed that males of many species have highly elaborated traits that seem maladaptive (secondary sexual characters)





African Lion: Mane is used for protection during male clashes (male-male competition)





Pheasant: Elaborate spur used in male-male fighting and by females to choose. (Notice the fake spur on the right. Some males try to trick females, but do not fight.)

Pheasant: Elaborate bright red wattle on cheek



Two Types of Sexual Selection

Male-male competition (intra-sexual selection)
Mate (female) choice (inter-sexual selection)

Male-male competition

- Within group dominance
- @Female-defense polygyny
- Territorial polygyny
- Sekking (competition for a position in the lek)

Within group dominance

Grey Wolves



Female defense polygyny

Northern Elephant Seals







Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 2 of 12





Mate Choice

Two broad categories: © Resource based © Non-resource based

In most cases, females are the choosy sex, but not always.

Why?

Why are females choosy?

Investment cost

@eggs are expensive relative to sperm

øinternal fertilization and carrying young to term is costly

When are males choosy?

(sex-role reversal)

- When their contributions exceed the cost of making eggs
- The chances of mating with multiple females is small (biased sex ratio—many females and very few males)

Example: Sea Horse (males care for the young)



Mate choice

(Why choose in the first place?)

- @ mate with correct species
- @ better fertilization ability or higher fecundity
- provides more food
- øbetter parental ability
- øbetter breeding territory or defended resource
- @lower risks or hazards (e.g., predation)
- ø partner offers higher heritable viability or other heritable qualities that are important

Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 3 of 12

Mate choice

Ø Direct benefits (proximate benefits)

Example: Bush crickets (nuptial gifts)

1. Males provide spermatophores which females feed on. Often consist of up to 30–40% of male body weight (i.e., very costly)

2. More spermatophores allow female to lay more eggs.

Mate Choice

- @Indirect benefits (ultimate benefits)
 - @ Good genes
- Others (e.g., Fisherian runaway sexual selection, which will not be discussed)

Good Genes

- Females (or males) choose a mate which offers high quality genes influencing survival
- Mate quality is indicated by a secondary trait
- Secondary trait must be heritable
- Must be heritable variation in mate quality
- No, low, or high cost to males bearing the trait (e.g., bearing the cost is an indicator of good genes..."handicap model")

Good Genes Example



Gray Tree Frog (Hyla versicolor) Short vs. Long Calls

Fitness Measure	High Food	Low Food
Larval growth	LC	LC
Time to meta.	LC	
Mass at meta.		1. 194
Larval survival		
Postmeta. growth	E Alle	LC



Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 4 of 12

What are leks?

An aggregation of males which females visit only for the purpose of mating.

- No male parental care (only contribution is sperm)
- An area that males aggregate and mate with females that is not associated with feeding, etc.
- Display sites of males contain no significant resources to females (e.g., nesting site)
- Females have the opportunity to choose, or shop, among available males

Evolution of leks

lower predation risk for males and females
passive attraction; more males is better
hotspot model (males congregate in areas that increase the probability of encountering females)
black hole model (females are not choosy, but wish to avoid dangers associated with mating)
hotshot model (females choose the "best" male; poor males congregate near good males in the hopes of increasing their chances)



Females prefer males with lots of blue and yellow objects at the bower. They also prefer neat bowers.







Many species of bowerbirds build elaborate bowers, decorate them with colorful objects, and have elaborate courship displays









Sensory Bias/Exploitation

Latent preferences in females are used by males to gain greater reproductive success.

Physaleumus Frogs

P. coloradum P. postulosum CHUCKS evolve in males CHUCK preference

evolves in female



P. coloradum: Males attract mates by calling using WHINES

P. postulosum: Males attract mates by calling using WHINES and some use CHUCKS

Experiment

Take tape playback unit with recording of P. coloradum WHINES with P. postulosum CHUCKS to pond. Play and observed, relative to just WHINES, how many females are attracted.

	Female Pref.	
WHINE	NO	
WHINE+CHUCK	YES	



Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 6 of 12





Helpful behaviors

Alarm calls (e.g., Belding ground squirrel)
 Sentinel behavior (e.g., meerkats)
 Nest helping
 Eusocial behavior

Actor performs some action that benefits another (the recipient).

How do you explain the evolution of helpful behavior?

Mutualism (actor benefits)
Reciprocal altruism (actor eventually benefits)
Kin selection (indirect selection)





Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 7 of 12



Bluegill Male: Males form nest sites of 50 to 100 males. Mutualistic because predation is lower if your nest is surrounded by others.



Male lions will often cooperate in ousting resident males from a pride, or in defending a pride from other (outside) groups of males. Often, the males in a pride are closely related.

Reciprocal Altruism

Dispense an altruistic (beneficial) act, which is later returned as an equally beneficial act.

Most likely when:

Repeated interactions between individuals

Many opportunities for altruism

Potential altruists interact in symmetrical situations



Groomer helps groomee by removing parasites & debris

Favor is returned in baboon females



Females hang out together in groups of about 10

Often fail to feed (on blood!) in a given night

Will share blood by regurgitating blood meals to others

Share more frequently with relatives, nestmates, and those that shared with them earlier.



Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 8 of 12

- "The Creator, if He exists, has a special preference for beetles."
- * Four stages of acceptance: i) this is worthless nonsense; ii) this is an interesting, but perverse, point of view; iii) this is true, but quite unimportant; iv) I always said so."
- Now my own suspicion is that the Universe is not only queerer than we suppose, but queerer than we CAN suppose."

– JBS Haldane



William Hamilton (1936-2000)

Hamilton, W. D. 1964. The genetical evolution of social behavior, I and II. J. Theor. Biol. 7:1–52.

Kin Selection

Hamilton's rule: A gene for altruistic self sacrifice will spread through a population if the cost to the altruist is outweighed by the benefit to the recipient devalued by a fraction representing the genetic relatedness between the two.

Br - C > 0 $w_i = a_i + \sum r_{ij} b_{ij}$ w = inclusive fitness a = direct benefit r = relatedness b = benefit





Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 9 of 12





- Reyer, H. U. 1984. Investment and relatedness: A cost/benefit analysis of breeding and helping in the pied kingfisher. Animal Behavior 32:1163–1178.
 - Year-old males that fail to find a mate can become primary helpers, secondary helpers, or delayers.
 - Primary helpers help their mother raiser her nestlings, really throwing themselves into the job.
 - Secondary helpers help an unrelated individual a little, or they can just sit out the year, becoming delayers

Eusociality

- Overlap in generations between parents and offspring
- Cooperative brood care
- Specialized castes of nonreproductive individuals





Because sisters are highly related, a female worker should bias her help towards reproductively competent sisters, rather than toward her brothers.

The queen is equally related to sons and daughters, and favors equal investment in each.

Conflict between queen and daughters (parent-offspring conflict).

Sisters share three times more genes with each other than with brothers. This favors a stable investment ratio of 3:1 in favor of sisters.

Queen wants a 1:1 investment.

Are hymenopteran colonies biased in their allocation of resources to males/females?

Yes:

- (1) Trivers and Hare (1976) found the expected 3:1 investment ratio (weight of all female reproductives vs. male reproductives).
- (2) Mueller (1991) showed that worker hymenopterans can alter their investment in colony mates depending on their relatedness.

Mueller (1991)

When a colony is founded by a single female, the asymmetry in relatedness (r=3/4) persists, and workers invested more energy in females.

If queen is removed, a worker takes over her role. Now workers are helping raise their nieces (r=0.375) and nephews (r=0.375). The investment in males increases.





Huelsenbeck Lecture 7-8 Slides page 11 of 12

Naked mole rat

- Live underground in groups of up to 200 individuals
- Breeding restricted to a single "queen" and to several "kings"
- Others are non-reproductive and act as workers
- Diploid, but colonies composed of closely related individuals (inbred, r is about 0.81)
- OUp to 85% of all matings are between parents and their offspring, or between full sibs.